

Local Government & Social Care OMBUDSMAN

18 July 2018

By email

Graham Soulsby
Managing Director
Kettering Borough Council

Dear Graham Soulsby,

Annual Review letter 2018

I write to you with our annual summary of statistics on the complaints made to the Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman (LGSCO) about your authority for the year ended 31 March 2018. The enclosed tables present the number of complaints and enquiries received about your authority and the decisions we made during the period. I hope this information will prove helpful in assessing your authority's performance in handling complaints.

Complaint statistics

In providing these statistics, I would stress that the volume of complaints does not, in itself, indicate the quality of the council's performance. High volumes of complaints can be a sign of an open, learning organisation, as well as sometimes being an early warning of wider problems. Low complaint volumes can be a worrying sign that an organisation is not alive to user feedback, rather than always being an indicator that all is well. So, I would encourage you to use these figures as the start of a conversation, rather than an absolute measure of corporate health. One of the most significant statistics attached is the number of upheld complaints. This shows how frequently we find fault with the council when we investigate. Equally importantly, we also give a figure for the number of cases where we decided your authority had offered a satisfactory remedy during the local complaints process. Both figures provide important insights.

I want to emphasise the statistics in this letter reflect the data we hold, and may not necessarily align with the data your authority holds. For example, our numbers include enquiries from people we signpost back to the authority, some of whom may never contact you.

In line with usual practice, we are publishing our annual data for all authorities on our website, alongside an annual review of local government complaints. The aim of this is to be transparent and provide information that aids the scrutiny of local services.

We issued one report against your Council this year. We found the Council failed several times to tell the complainant, of her right to request a review of the suitability of temporary

accommodation it provided when she was homeless. Even when, during our investigation, the Council undertook to do a suitability review, it still failed to do so.

We also found fault by the Council in delaying for five months before telling the complainant that the Council had decided against letting have the temporary accommodation as her permanent home. This deprived the complainant of the opportunity to bid for other properties. The Council was additionally at fault for failing to inform the complainant about certain restrictions on properties she could bid for and not determining her eligibility properly in accordance with its policy. The Council compounded this fault by initially providing the same, inaccurate, information to our investigator when responding to his enquiries. We also found fault in the council's failure to have proper regard to its duty to protect her belongings from loss or damage, though we did not consider this caused injustice to her.

We recommended the Council should pay the complainant £500 to recognise her lost opportunity, frustration, uncertainty and justified anger caused by its faults, and (if the complainant still wished this) review the suitability of her temporary accommodation in accordance with the relevant law and guidance, first inviting her to list the reasons she considered it unsuitable. In the event of a decision that the accommodation is suitable, it should advise the complainant of her right of appeal. We also recommended the Council make fresh decisions, without fault, about whether to include the complainant's daughter on her housing application and if so, whether the household is eligible for three bedrooms.

We also asked the Council to review the wording of its allocations scheme, including the definition of a 'child' and review its procedures to minimise the risk of the fault identified in this case recurring.

I am pleased the Council accepted all of our recommendations and has now implemented the remedy.

Future development of annual review letters

Last year, we highlighted our plans to move away from a simplistic focus on complaint volumes and instead turn focus onto the lessons that can be learned and the wider improvements we can achieve through our recommendations to improve services for the many. We have produced a new [corporate strategy](#) for 2018-21 which commits us to more comprehensively publish information about the outcomes of our investigations and the occasions our recommendations result in improvements to local services.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my thanks for your Council volunteering to be involved with this project which seeks to improve the way we record and publish data about remedies. This is an important area of our work, which will help highlight the positive impact complaints can have on improving the way public services are delivered. We very much appreciate the time you have offered to help make this project a success. We will also be making changes to the format of our annual letters as a result and will be engaging with councils on this early next year.

Supporting local scrutiny

One of the purposes of our annual letters to councils is to help ensure learning from complaints informs scrutiny at the local level. Sharing the learning from our investigations and supporting the democratic scrutiny of public services continues to be one of our key priorities. We have created a dedicated section of our website which contains a host of information to help scrutiny committees and councillors to hold their authority to account – complaints data, decision statements, public interest reports, focus reports and scrutiny questions. This can be found at www.lgo.org.uk/scrutiny. I would be grateful if you could encourage your elected members and scrutiny committees to make use of these resources.

Learning from complaints to improve services

We share the issues we see in our investigations to help councils learn from the issues others have experienced and avoid making the same mistakes. We do this through the [reports](#) and other resources we publish. Over the last year, we have seen examples of councils adopting a positive attitude towards complaints and working constructively with us to remedy injustices and take on board the learning from our cases. In one great example, a county council has seized the opportunity to entirely redesign how its occupational therapists work with all of its districts, to improve partnership working and increase transparency for the public. This originated from a single complaint. This is the sort of culture we all benefit from – one that takes the learning from complaints and uses it to improve services.

Complaint handling training

We have a well-established and successful training programme supporting local authorities and independent care providers to help improve local complaint handling. In 2017-18 we delivered 58 courses, training more than 800 people. We also set up a network of council link officers to promote and share best practice in complaint handling, and hosted a series of seminars for that group. To find out more visit www.lgo.org.uk/training.

Yours sincerely,



Michael King
Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman
Chair, Commission for Local Administration in England

Local Authority Report: Kettering Borough Council
For the Period Ending: 31/03/2018

For further information on how to interpret our statistics, please visit our website:
<http://www.lgo.org.uk/information-centre/reports/annual-review-reports/interpreting-local-authority-statistics>

Complaints and enquiries received

Adult Care Services	Benefits and Tax	Corporate and Other Services	Education and Children's Services	Environment Services	Highways and Transport	Housing	Planning and Development	Other	Total
1	2	0	0	0	1	4	3	0	11

Decisions made

Detailed Investigations

Incomplete or Invalid	Advice Given	Referred back for Local Resolution	Closed After Initial Enquiries	Not Upheld	Upheld	Uphold Rate	Total
0	1	5	5	0	1	100%	12

Notes

Our uphold rate is calculated in relation to the total number of detailed investigations.

The number of remedied complaints may not equal the number of upheld complaints. This is because, while we may uphold a complaint because we find fault, we may not always find grounds to say that fault caused injustice that ought to be remedied.

Complaints Remedied

by LGO Satisfactorily by Authority before LGO Involvement

1 0